IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR NO.6565 of 2006
DATE OF DECISION: January 25, 2007

Inder Singh

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Pawan Kumar and another

….Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

PRESENT: Shri S.N.Gaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The plaintiff is petitioner before this Court. He is aggrieved against the rejection of his application filed under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure by the two Courts below.

The two Courts below have observed that the plaintiff is neither shown to be in possession, nor his ownership is proved.

In view of the observations made by the Courts below which are not shown to be erroneous in any manner, I do not find any merit in the present revision petition.

Dismissed.

January 25, 2007 (Viney Mittal)
KD Judge